

Mini Review

Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) in the Early Diagnosis of Visceral Injuries in Trauma Patients: A Narrative Review

Luís Fernando Rosati Rocha ^{1,2,*}, Ana Paula Miranda Rosati ³, Ana Luiza Miranda Rosati ⁴, Luís Eduardo Miranda Rosati Rocha ⁵, Rodrigo Stenio Moll de Souza ⁵, Bernardo Moll ⁶, Filipe Moll de Souza Rocha ⁵

¹ Dr. Ernesto Che Guevara Municipal Hospital, Maricá, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

² Department of General Surgery, Fluminense Federal University (UFF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

³ Department of Radiology, Fluminense Federal University (UFF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

⁴ Pardini Institute, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

⁵ Faculty of Medicine IDOMED, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

⁶ Faculty of Medicine, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy.

* Correspondence: lfrosati@yahoo.com.br.

Abstract: Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) has become a cornerstone of early trauma evaluation due to its rapid execution, bedside availability, and noninvasive nature. Its primary role is the detection of free fluid, serving as an indirect marker of significant intra-abdominal injury. However, the accuracy of FAST for diagnosing specific visceral injuries remains variable, particularly when distinguishing solid organ from hollow viscus lesions. This narrative review analyzes current evidence regarding the role of FAST in the early diagnosis of visceral injuries in trauma patients. A structured literature search was conducted in PubMed, SciELO, and Scopus databases. The diagnostic performance of FAST, its clinical applicability in hemodynamically unstable and stable patients, and its limitations in comparison with computed tomography are discussed. The findings indicate that FAST is highly specific for detecting hemoperitoneum and is particularly valuable in unstable patients, where it facilitates rapid surgical decision-making. Nevertheless, its limited sensitivity for isolated visceral and hollow viscus injuries underscores the need for integration with clinical assessment and advanced imaging.

Keywords: Trauma; FAST; Visceral injury; Abdominal Trauma; Ultrasound.

Citation: Rocha LFR, Rosati APM, Rosati ALM, Rocha LEMR, Souza RSM, Moll B, Rocha FMS. Selective Nonoperative Management of Abdominal Gunshot Wounds: Contemporary Protocols, Patient Selection, and Failure Rescue. Brazilian Journal of Clinical Medicine and Review. 2026;Jan-Dec;04(1):bjcmr56.

<https://doi.org/10.52600/2763-583X.bjcmr.2026.4.1.bjcm56>

Received: 22 January 2026

Accepted: 10 February 2026

Published: 26 February 2026

1. Introduction

Trauma remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly among young and economically active populations. Despite advances in prehospital care, damage control surgery, and critical care, hemorrhage continues to be the primary cause of preventable death in trauma patients during the early post-injury period. Rapid identification of internal bleeding and associated visceral injuries is therefore a cornerstone of modern trauma management and directly influences survival outcomes [1, 2]. Abdominal trauma, whether blunt or penetrating, poses a significant diagnostic challenge in the acute setting. Clinical examination alone is often unreliable due to altered mental status, intoxication, distracting injuries, or concomitant shock. Consequently, imaging modalities have assumed a central role in the early evaluation of trauma patients, particularly for the detection of intra-abdominal injuries involving solid organs and hollow viscera.

Computed tomography (CT) is widely regarded as the gold standard for diagnosing abdominal visceral injuries. However, CT requires patient stability and safe transport,



Copyright: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

which may not be feasible in hemodynamically unstable patients or in resource-limited settings. These limitations highlight the need for rapid bedside diagnostic tools [3, 4]. Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) was developed to address this gap, providing a rapid, noninvasive, and repeatable bedside ultrasound examination aimed at detecting free fluid [5].

The present narrative review evaluates the role of FAST in the early diagnosis of visceral injuries in trauma patients, focusing on its diagnostic performance, clinical applicability, and limitations.

2. Materials and Methods

Study selection was based on relevance to clinical decision-making, diagnostic performance of FAST, and applicability to emergency and surgical trauma care. Given the narrative design, no formal risk-of-bias assessment was performed; however, methodological rigor and clinical relevance were considered during synthesis. Inclusion criteria comprised original studies, cohort studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and consensus statements addressing adult blunt or penetrating trauma. Pediatric-only studies, case reports, editorials, and articles without full-text availability were excluded.

The search terms were combined using Boolean operators and included: “FAST”, “focused assessment with sonography for trauma”, “abdominal trauma”, “visceral injury”, “solid organ injury”, and “hollow viscus injury”. Reference lists of relevant articles were manually screened to identify additional studies. A narrative review of the literature was conducted to evaluate the role of Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) in the early diagnosis of visceral injuries. The search strategy included PubMed, SciELO, and Scopus databases, covering publications from January 1996 to March 2024.

3. Discussion

FAST accuracy is influenced by operator experience, training, and adherence to standardized protocols. Serial examinations may improve sensitivity in selected patients. Emerging approaches, such as contrast-enhanced ultrasound, show promise in improving detection of parenchymal injuries but require further validation before widespread adoption [2, 4].

3.1 Operator Dependency and Emerging Techniques

Computed tomography remains the gold standard for comprehensive abdominal trauma evaluation. While FAST provides speed and bedside availability, CT offers superior sensitivity, injury grading, and guidance for nonoperative management. These modalities should be viewed as complementary rather than competing tools within modern trauma algorithms.

3.2 FAST Versus Computed Tomography

Hollow viscus and mesenteric injuries represent a well-recognized limitation of FAST. These lesions often produce minimal or delayed intraperitoneal fluid, leading to false-negative examinations. Consequently, FAST should not be used as a rule-out test for visceral injury, particularly in hemodynamically stable patients with persistent clinical suspicion [3, 6].

3.3 Limitations in Hollow Viscus and Mesenteric Trauma

FAST demonstrates greater diagnostic utility in solid organ injuries, particularly involving the liver and spleen, where bleeding commonly produces detectable free fluid. Multiple studies have shown that FAST-positive findings in blunt abdominal trauma are strongly associated with high-grade solid organ injuries and increased likelihood of therapeutic laparotomy [7-9].

3.4 FAST and Solid Organ Injuries

In hemodynamically unstable trauma patients, FAST plays a decisive role in early management. A positive FAST examination reliably indicates clinically significant hemoperitoneum and strongly correlates with the need for urgent surgical or interventional hemorrhage control. In this context, FAST serves as a rapid triage tool that prioritizes operative decision-making over definitive anatomical diagnosis.

4. Conclusion

FAST and computed tomography should be integrated into structured trauma algorithms, leveraging the speed of bedside ultrasound and the anatomical precision of CT. The appropriate use of FAST, guided by physiological status and mechanism of injury, optimizes patient outcomes and resource utilization. Nevertheless, the limitations of FAST, particularly in isolated solid organ injuries without hemoperitoneum and in hollow viscus trauma, must be clearly acknowledged. A negative FAST examination does not exclude visceral injury and should never delay further diagnostic evaluation when clinical suspicion persists. Conversely, a positive FAST examination reliably identifies patients at high risk for clinically significant visceral injury and should prompt expedited operative or interventional management. FAST remains a cornerstone of early trauma assessment and a critical decision-support tool, particularly in hemodynamically unstable patients with suspected abdominal hemorrhage.

Funding: None.

Research Ethics Committee Approval: None.

Acknowledgments: None.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Materials: None.

References

1. Healey MA, Simons RK, Winchell RJ, Gosink BB, Casola G, Steele JT, et al. A prospective evaluation of abdominal ultrasound in blunt trauma. *J Trauma*. 1996;40(6):875-81.
2. Kirkpatrick AW, Sirois M, Laupland KB, Goldstein L, Brown DR, Simons RK, Dulchavsky S, Boulanger BR. Prospective evaluation of hand-held focused abdominal sonography for trauma (FAST) in blunt abdominal trauma. *Can J Surg*. 2005 Dec;48(6):453-60. PMID: 16417051; PMCID: PMC3211725.
3. Matsushima K, Khor D, Berne TV, Asensio JA, Demetriades D. Beyond FAST: limitations in abdominal trauma. *World J Surg*. 2011;35(4):927-31. doi: 10.1007/s00268-011-0988-2.
4. Richards JR, McGahan JP. Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma (FAST) in 2017: What Radiologists Can Learn. *Radiology*. 2017 Apr;283(1):30-48. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2017160107. PMID: 28318439.
5. Tsui CL, Fung HT, Chung KL, Kam CW. Focused abdominal sonography for trauma in the emergency department for blunt abdominal trauma. *Int J Emerg Med*. 2008 Sep;1(3):183-7. doi: 10.1007/s12245-008-0050-2. Epub 2008 Sep 26. PMID: 19384513; PMCID: PMC2657279.
6. Achatz G, Schwabe K, Brill S, Zischek C, Schmidt R, Friemert B, Beltzer C. Diagnostic options for blunt abdominal trauma. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2022 Oct;48(5):3575-3589. doi: 10.1007/s00068-020-01405-1. Epub 2020 Jun 23. Erratum in: *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2022 Oct;48(5):3591. doi: 10.1007/s00068-020-01456-4. PMID: 32577779.
7. Rowell SE, Barbosa RR, Holcomb JB, Fox EE, Barton CA, Schreiber MA. The focused assessment with sonography in trauma (FAST) in hypotensive injured patients frequently fails to identify the need for laparotomy: a multi-institutional pragmatic study. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open*. 2019 Jan 24;4(1):e000207. doi: 10.1136/tsaco-2018-000207. PMID: 30793035; PMCID: PMC6350755.
8. Waheed KB, Baig AA, Raza A, Ul Hassan MZ, Khattab MA, Raza U. Diagnostic accuracy of Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma for blunt abdominal trauma in the Eastern Region of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J*. 2018 Jun;39(6):598-602. doi: 10.15537/smj.2018.6.22031. PMID: 29915855; PMCID: PMC6058749.
9. Moylan M, Newgard CD, Ma OJ, Sabbaj A, Rogers T, Douglass R. Association between a positive ED FAST examination and therapeutic laparotomy in normotensive blunt trauma patients. *J Emerg Med*. 2007 Oct;33(3):265-71. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2007.02.030. Epub 2007 Jul 5. PMID: 17976554.